



## **D6.1 - Project Quality Plan**

**Date: 24/11/2022**

**Authors: Candice BOUDET (LGI), Gilles QUENEHERVE (LGI), Charles TOULEMONDE (EDF)**



## Table of contents

1. Project governance: Project bodies and actors.....	4
2. Collaborative web platform of the project (TEAMS).....	5
3. Meetings.....	5
4. Information management .....	5
4.1. General information .....	6
4.2. Preparation of contractual technical document (deliverables, milestones).....	6
4.2.1. Formal process of validation of deliverables.....	6
4.2.2. Preparation of other technical documents .....	7
4.2.3. Preparation of contractual reporting document.....	8
5. Publications .....	9

## Document information

Grant Agreement	n°101060008
Project Title	eurOpean platForm For accEssing nucleaR R&d facilities
Project Acronym	OFFERR
Project Coordinator	Charles TOULEMONDE (EDF)
Project Duration	June 2022 – June 2026
Related Work Package	WP6
Related Task(s)	Task 6.1 (D6.1 / WP6)
Lead Organisation	LGI
Contributing Partner(s)	LGI, EDF
Due Date	30 November 2022
Submission Date	24 November 2022
Dissemination level	PU



## History

Submission Date	Version	Submitted by	Reviewed by	Review Date
2022-11-10	V0	Candice BOUDET (LGI), Gilles QUENEHERVE (LGI)	Charles TOULEMONDE (EDF)	2022-11-10
2022-11-10	V1	Charles TOULEMONDE (EDF)	Candice BOUDET (LGI)	2022-11-14
2022-11-14	V2	Candice BOUDET (LGI), Gilles QUENEHERVE (LGI)	Charles TOULEMONDE (EDF)	2022-11-18

## Summary

The present document is the Project Quality Plan (PQP) for the project OFFERR of the EURATOM Programme. It describes requirements and procedural regulations intended to establish a uniform approach to the completion of the project. It is to be used as a guide for participants in OFFERR, concerning information management, document publication, quality assurance, project organisation and contact information.



## Abbreviations and acronyms

Acronym	Description
DoA	Description of Action
EC DG RTD	European Commission – Directorate General for Research and Technological Development
GA	General Assembly
SC	Steering Committee
PMO	Project Management Office
PQP	Project Quality Plan
PR	Periodic Report
QA	Quality Assurance
WP	Work Package
WPL	Work Package Leader



# 1. Introduction

## 1.1. Purpose

The Project Quality Plan (PQP) of OFFERR project describes how quality will be managed throughout the project.

## 1.2. Application and validity

The requirements contained in the present document apply to all personnel engaged in OFFERR. Revisions are valid from the date of issue.

Contractual obligations to the Funding Authority and details are set by the:

- [Grant Agreement-101060008-OFFERR.pdf](#)
- [Consortium Agreement-OFFERR-VF.pdf](#)

([location](#): TEAMS/OFFERR -> wp\_0\_proposal\_ga\_and\_ca/grant\_agreement\_and\_consortium\_agreement)

# 2. Project governance: project bodies and actors

The governance structure guarantees that each member is represented throughout the project implementation and also facilitates project progress. The roles and responsibilities of the different bodies and actors are detailed in the Consortium Agreement and its annexes.

Essentially, the coordinator and WP leaders are responsible for structuring a collaborative and shared work approach, involving relevant partners, and cooperating across WPs, to reach project objectives and goals in the end.

For OFFERR, the project bodies are the following:

- General Assembly
- Coordinator
- Steering Committee, which includes WPLs and the Coordinator
- WP Leaders

Further details on decision-making processes and procedural regulations are provided in the Consortium Agreement.






Figure 1: Project governance

#### Main project contacts are:

- OFFERR Coordinator: Charles TOULEMONDE [charles.toulemonde@edf.fr](mailto:charles.toulemonde@edf.fr)
- OFFERR PMO: Candice BOUDET [candice.boudet@lgi.earth](mailto:candice.boudet@lgi.earth)
- WP Leaders (Steering Committee):
  - WP1: User Facilities Network – CEA: Stéphane GAILLOT [stephane.gaillot@cea.fr](mailto:stephane.gaillot@cea.fr)
  - WP2: Design & launch call for infrastructure access – SCK CEN: Jan WAGEMANS [jan.wagemans@sckcen.be](mailto:jan.wagemans@sckcen.be)
  - WP3: Evaluation process monitoring – VTT: Petri KINNUNEN [petri.kinnunen@vtt.fi](mailto:petri.kinnunen@vtt.fi)
  - WP4: European & international interactions & training– JSI: Leon CIZELJ [leon.cizelj@ijs.si](mailto:leon.cizelj@ijs.si)
  - WP5: Scientific Monitoring, Dissemination & Exploitation- UJV: Jiri ZDAREK [jiri.zdarek@ujv.cz](mailto:jiri.zdarek@ujv.cz)
  - WP6: Project coordination, management & communication – EDF : Charles TOULEMONDE [charles.toulemonde@edf.fr](mailto:charles.toulemonde@edf.fr)
  - WP7: Facilitating access to infrastructure – LGI : Candice BOUDET [candice.boudet@lgi.earth](mailto:candice.boudet@lgi.earth)

### 3. Collaborative web platform of the project (TEAMS)

A LGI TEAMS workspace ([LINK](#)) was set up using the LGI's Microsoft account. All partners were invited, and WP channels created.

OFFERR TEAMS is dedicated (1) to collaborative work between partners (e.g., collaboration on deliverables, storage of documents, follow-up of actions and tasks/to dos etc.) and (2) as a communication platform, including messages relevant to all partners (e.g. from the coordinator), for discussions on the respective WP channels, chat etc.

TEAMS folders are organised following the structure of the OFFERR projects reminding WP numbers. One of them is dedicated to communication toolkit

Project meetings' agendas, minutes, templates, documents, recordings etc. are available through the OFFERR channel and folders. WP channels are facilitated and organised by WP leaders; general files and tabs are managed by the Coordinator – Charles TOULEMONDE ([charles.toulemonde@edf.fr](mailto:charles.toulemonde@edf.fr)) and OFFERR PMO - Gilles QUÉNÉHERVÉ ([gilles.queneherve@lgi.earth](mailto:gilles.queneherve@lgi.earth)) and Candice BOUDET ([candice.boudet@lgi.earth](mailto:candice.boudet@lgi.earth)).

OFFERR TEAMS is the reference workspace of the project.

### 4. Meetings

Several types of meetings may be organised during the project (periodic or ad hoc technical progress meetings, etc.) by either the coordinator or by the WP leaders. After a consultation between the participants involved, an item containing at least: meeting date, meeting location and preliminary agenda is made available as soon as possible in the TEAMS Channel. For each meeting, the organiser must write the minutes, make them available on the collaborative project platform and notify the necessary consortium members electronically.

### 5. Information management

Information used or generated by the project may take many forms. This chapter will describe the internal procedures for document preparation in a quality-oriented approach. Documents produced in the project fall into several categories:

- **Contractual technical documents** including technical deliverables and milestones. These are either public or restricted to project participants and the EC

This project is funded by the Horizon Europe programme under grant agreement n°101060008.



- **Other technical documents** including non-contractual reports, support documents and progress meeting minutes restricted to project participant and the EC
- **Contractual reporting** documents including administrative & financial documents restricted to project participants and the EC

## 5.1. General information

The main principle regarding document preparation and internal dissemination is that each beneficiary applies their own Quality Assurance (QA) procedures for the preparation of their contributions to project documents. If such procedures are not normally applied by the beneficiary, the project templates should be used and further guidelines can be provided by the project management office upon request.

Templates to be used for project documents are available in the TEAMS at:

[offerr\\_deliverable\\_template.dotx](#)

All OFFERR documents should be named as follows:

**offerr\_wp<n>\_T.<ii>\_<short title>\_v<j>**

Where:

- **T** is the document type: “d” for deliverables, “ms” for milestones, “minutes” for minutes, “r” for reports, “slides” for presentations and “o” for other documents
- **n** is the work package number
- **ii** is an incremental number for this WP and this type of document, which is delivered by the WPL (2 digits, except for deliverables, for which there is only 1 digit, as defined in Part B of Annex 1 to the GA)
- **j** is an incremental number for the version of the document (starting at 0).

## 5.2. Preparation of contractual technical document (deliverables, milestones)

### 5.2.1. Formal process of validation of deliverables

The workflow will be managed through TEAMS, it enables:

- The internal review of contractual technical documents (e.g. deliverables) as soon as they are available on the platform
- Monitoring project progress in terms of milestones and deliverables
- A streamlined process for the publication and approval of deliverables while enforcing **appropriate quality assurance processes**

The various steps necessary to issue contractual technical documents are presented in the image below:




Figure 2: Workflow validation process for the deliverable submission

This deliverable preparation and validation process is as follows:

1. The main author, who is responsible for the elaboration of the document, asks all the involved participants to write their contribution to the document (c.a. 1 or 2 months before the delivery date).
2. After gathering and consolidating all contributions, the main author issues the draft version of the report with his/her own procedures. He/She then uploads the draft deliverable on the TEAMS/OFFERR, also providing the abstract and the names of contributors.
3. The WP leader will receive an email, sent by the deliverable responsible, stating that the deliverable is available for review. The WP leader then reviews the technical content of the document. If modifications are needed, the WP leader provides comments and informed the responsible of the deliverable. Once the updates are implemented, the main author uploads the revised deliverable on the TEAMS/OFFERR, and the process begins anew until the WP leader validates the document.
4. If/when the WP leader validates the deliverable, he notifies the Coordinator (next in line for review) via TEAMS that the deliverable can be reviewed. At this point the process above repeats until the coordinator validates the document as well. Once this happens, the PMO (LGI) is informed by the Coordinator that the document can be finalized following a final check. Once this is done, LGI will generate the cover pages (with logos, timestamps, etc.), and the document is ready for submission to the EC.

### 5.2.2. Preparation of other technical documents

This section provides guidance on the preparation of other technical documents, non-contractual reports, support documents and progress meeting minutes.

For these documents, the steps are similar to those described in the previous section but the procedure is simplified.



This project is funded by the Horizon Europe programme under grant agreement n°101060008.



- The partners can use their own Quality Assurance (QA) procedures for the preparation of OFFERR documents. Alternatively, OFFERR templates can be used without any reference to the internal QA of the beneficiaries involved.
- The validation and the corresponding timestamp of the WPL and Project coordinator appear on the second page of the document.

In the case of joint meetings concerning more than one work package, all concerned WPLs shall approve the minutes.

The templates for meeting minutes and for other technical documents are included in the OFFERR collaborative platform.

Nomenclature of the file name of the minutes: offerr\_wp<n>\_<date>\_<nature of the meeting>\_minutes.docx

### 5.2.3. Preparation of contractual reporting document

According to the grant agreement, the Coordinator is responsible for issuing the periodic reports (financial report and activity report) to the EC. The procedure to prepare these reports starts from the top level of the project and goes down through the various management levels. The reporting is divided into a financial part and a technical part. To ensure high quality and timely reporting, the PMO will use the approach outlined below. Being responsible for the execution of the entire reporting process, the PMO will provide support to the coordinator to ensure the quality of this reporting.

For the financial part of the reporting, the illustration below describes the process:




Figure 3: The financial reporting process

On the other hand, for the reporting of the activities (project progress) the process is as follows:




Figure 4: The technical reporting process

## 6. Publications

The beneficiaries can submit articles to peer-reviewed journals or present communications at conferences on the studies performed in **OFFERR**.

For articles, an acknowledgement of the Commission’s support must be included: “The research leading to these results has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2022 under grant agreement No 101060008”.

For presentations to conferences, it is strongly recommended to use the OFFERR Power Point presentation template ([available on the web collaborative platform](#)). The minimum requirement is to use the project logo. Moreover, the EU logo should be added for acknowledgement of the EURATOM support. The following acknowledgement of the Commission’s support shall be included: “*This project has received funding from the European Commission*”.

The submitted and final versions of the articles and communications must be uploaded in the collaborative web platform work folder of the relevant WP and then published in the “Publications” folder.

All partners should take appropriate measures to engage with the public and the media about the project and to highlight the Community financial support. Any publicity, including at a conference or seminar or any type of information or promotional material, must specify that the project has received Community research funding and display the European emblem with appropriate prominence.



Figure 5: European emblem